

Cryptography

Lecture 11

Announcements

- HW4 due today
- Midterm Upcoming on Wednesday 3/13
 - Review sheet posted on course webpage
 - On Canvas
 - Cheat Sheet
 - Extra practice folder with last year's HW5 and solutions as well as an additional class exercise

Agenda

- Last time:
 - MACs (K/L 4.1, 4.2, 4.3)
- This time:
 - Domain Extension for MACs (K/L 4.4) and Class Exercise solutions
 - CCA security (K/L 3.7)
 - Authenticated Encryption (K/L 4.5)

Message Authentication Codes

Definition: A message authentication code (MAC) consists of three probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms $(Gen, Mac, Vrfy)$ such that:

1. The key-generation algorithm Gen takes as input the security parameter 1^n and outputs a key k with $|k| \geq n$.
2. The tag-generation algorithm Mac takes as input a key k and a message $m \in \{0,1\}^*$, and outputs a tag t .
 $t \leftarrow Mac_k(m)$.
3. The deterministic verification algorithm $Vrfy$ takes as input a key k , a message m , and a tag t . It outputs a bit b with $b = 1$ meaning valid and $b = 0$ meaning invalid.
 $b := Vrfy_k(m, t)$.

It is required that for every n , every key k output by $Gen(1^n)$, and every $m \in \{0,1\}^*$, it holds that $Vrfy_k(m, Mac_k(m)) = 1$.

Security of MACs

The message authentication experiment $MACforge_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

1. A key k is generated by running $Gen(1^n)$.
2. The adversary A is given input 1^n and oracle access to $Mac_k(\cdot)$. The adversary eventually outputs (m, t) . Let Q denote the set of all queries that A asked its oracle.
3. A succeeds if and only if (1) $Vrfy_k(m, t) = 1$ and (2) $m \notin Q$. In that case, the output of the experiment is defined to be 1.

Security of MACs

Definition: A message authentication code $\Pi = (Gen, Mac, Vrfy)$ is existentially unforgeable under an adaptive chosen message attack if for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries A , there is a negligible function neg such that:

$$\Pr[MACforge_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq neg(n).$$

Strong MACs

The strong message authentication experiment $MACsforge_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

1. A key k is generated by running $Gen(1^n)$.
2. The adversary A is given input 1^n and oracle access to $Mac_k(\cdot)$. The adversary eventually outputs (m, t) . Let Q denote the set of all pairs (m, t) that A asked its oracle.
3. A succeeds if and only if (1) $Vrfy_k(m, t) = 1$ and (2) $(m, t) \notin Q$. In that case, the output of the experiment is defined to be 1.

Strong MACs

Definition: A message authentication code $\Pi = (Gen, Mac, Vrfy)$ is a strong MAC if for all probabilistic polynomial-time adversaries A , there is a negligible function neg such that:

$$\Pr[MACsforge_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq neg(n).$$

Domain Extension for MACs

CBC-MAC

Let F be a pseudorandom function, and fix a length function ℓ . The basic CBC-MAC construction is as follows:

- *Mac*: on input a key $k \in \{0,1\}^n$ and a message m of length $\ell(n) \cdot n$, do the following:
 1. Parse m as $m = m_1, \dots, m_\ell$ where each m_i is of length n .
 2. Set $t_0 := 0^n$. Then, for $i = 1$ to ℓ :
Set $t_i := F_k(t_{i-1} \oplus m_i)$.Output t_ℓ as the tag.
- *Vrfy*: on input a key $k \in \{0,1\}^n$, a message m , and a tag t , do: If m is not of length $\ell(n) \cdot n$ then output 0. Otherwise, output 1 if and only if $t = \text{Mac}_k(m)$.

CBC-MAC




FIGURE 4.1: Basic CBC-MAC (for fixed-length messages).

Chosen Ciphertext Security

CCA Security

The CCA Indistinguishability Experiment $PrivK^{cca}_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

1. A key k is generated by running $Gen(1^n)$.
2. The adversary A is given input 1^n and oracle access to $Enc_k(\cdot)$ and $Dec_k(\cdot)$, and outputs a pair of messages m_0, m_1 of the same length.
3. A random bit $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$ is chosen, and then a challenge ciphertext $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m_b)$ is computed and given to A .
4. The adversary A continues to have oracle access to $Enc_k(\cdot)$ and $Dec_k(\cdot)$, but is not allowed to query the latter on the challenge ciphertext itself. Eventually, A outputs a bit b' .
5. The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if $b' = b$, and 0 otherwise.

CCA Security

A private-key encryption scheme $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$ has indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen-ciphertext attack if for all ppt adversaries A there exists a negligible function $negl$ such that

$$\Pr \left[PrivK^{cca}_{A, \Pi}(n) = 1 \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + negl(n),$$

where the probability is taken over the random coins used by A , as well as the random coins used in the experiment.

Authenticated Encryption

The unforgeable encryption experiment $EncForge_{A,\Pi}(n)$:

1. Run $Gen(1^n)$ to obtain key k .
2. The adversary A is given input 1^n and access to an encryption oracle $Enc_k(\cdot)$. The adversary outputs a ciphertext c .
3. Let $m := Dec_k(c)$, and let Q denote the set of all queries that A asked its encryption oracle. The output of the experiment is 1 if and only if (1) $m \neq \perp$ and (2) $m \notin Q$.

Authenticated Encryption

Definition: A private-key encryption scheme Π is unforgeable if for all ppt adversaries A , there is a negligible function neg such that:

$$\Pr[EncForge_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq neg(n).$$

Definition: A private-key encryption scheme is an authenticated encryption scheme if it is CCA-secure and unforgeable.