

# Cryptography

## Lecture 12

# Announcements

- Midterm Next Class on Wednesday 3/13
- Study Materials
  - Review sheet posted on course webpage, solutions posted on Canvas
  - Cheat Sheet posted on Canvas
  - Extra practice folder on Canvas with last year's HW5 and solutions as well as an additional class exercise and solutions

# Agenda

- Last time:
  - Domain Extension for MACs (K/L 4.4) and Class Exercise solutions
  - CCA security (K/L 3.7)
  - Unforgeability for Encryption (K/L 4.5)
- This time:
  - Authenticated Encryption (K/L 4.5)
  - Review for Midterm

# Chosen Ciphertext Security

# CCA Security

The CCA Indistinguishability Experiment  $PrivK^{cca}_{A,\Pi}(n)$ :

1. A key  $k$  is generated by running  $Gen(1^n)$ .
2. The adversary  $A$  is given input  $1^n$  and oracle access to  $Enc_k(\cdot)$  and  $Dec_k(\cdot)$ , and outputs a pair of messages  $m_0, m_1$  of the same length.
3. A random bit  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  is chosen, and then a challenge ciphertext  $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m_b)$  is computed and given to  $A$ .
4. The adversary  $A$  continues to have oracle access to  $Enc_k(\cdot)$  and  $Dec_k(\cdot)$ , but is not allowed to query the latter on the challenge ciphertext itself. Eventually,  $A$  outputs a bit  $b'$ .
5. The output of the experiment is defined to be 1 if  $b' = b$ , and 0 otherwise.

# CCA Security

A private-key encryption scheme

$\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  has indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen-ciphertext attack if for all ppt adversaries  $A$  there exists a negligible function  $negl$  such that

$$\Pr \left[ PrivK^{cca}_{A, \Pi}(n) = 1 \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + negl(n),$$

where the probability is taken over the random coins used by  $A$ , as well as the random coins used in the experiment.

# Authenticated Encryption

The unforgeable encryption experiment  $EncForge_{A,\Pi}(n)$ :

1. Run  $Gen(1^n)$  to obtain key  $k$ .
2. The adversary  $A$  is given input  $1^n$  and access to an encryption oracle  $Enc_k(\cdot)$ . The adversary outputs a ciphertext  $c$ .
3. Let  $m := Dec_k(c)$ , and let  $Q$  denote the set of all queries that  $A$  asked its encryption oracle. The output of the experiment is 1 if and only if (1)  $m \neq \perp$  and (2)  $m \notin Q$ .

# Authenticated Encryption

Definition: A private-key encryption scheme  $\Pi$  is unforgeable if for all ppt adversaries  $A$ , there is a negligible function  $neg$  such that:

$$\Pr[EncForge_{A,\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq neg(n).$$

Definition: A private-key encryption scheme is an authenticated encryption scheme if it is CCA-secure and unforgeable.



# Generic Constructions

# Encrypt-and-authenticate

Encryption and message authentication are computed independently in parallel.

$$c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_{k_E}(m) \quad t \leftarrow \text{Mac}_{k_M}(m)$$
$$\langle c, t \rangle$$

Is this secure? NO!

# Authenticate-then-encrypt

Here a MAC tag  $t$  is first computed, and then the message and tag are encrypted together.

$$t \leftarrow \text{Mac}_{k_M}(m) \quad c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_{k_E}(m||t)$$

$c$  is sent

Is this secure? NO! Encryption scheme may not be CCA-secure.

# Encrypt-then-authenticate

The message  $m$  is first encrypted and then a MAC tag is computed over the result

$$c \leftarrow Enc_{k_E}(m) \quad t \leftarrow Mac_{k_M}(c)$$
$$\langle c, t \rangle$$

Is this secure? YES! As long as the MAC is strongly secure.