

## Terminology and Implicants

9/30/15

Terminology---True or False. If False, give a counterexample.

1.  $f_1(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z) + xyz, f_2(x, y, z) = xyz$   
 $f_1 \rightarrow f_2$

2.  $f_1(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z) + xyz, f_2(x, y, z) = (x + y)$   
 $f_1 \rightarrow f_2$

3.  $f_1(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z) + xyz, f_2(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z)$   
 $f_2 \rightarrow f_1$

4.  $f_1(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z) + xyz, f_2(x, y, z) = (x + y)(\bar{x} + z) + xyz + \bar{x}\bar{y}z$   
 $f_2 \rightarrow f_1$

## Terminology and Implicants

9/30/15

### Prime Implicants

- Given the following truthtable for  $f(x, y, z)$ , list the prime implicants of  $f$ .

$x$	$y$	$z$	$f(x, y, z)$
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0